

Serial No.: 10/759,677
ST00001C1 (217-US-C1)

REMARKS

Claims 1-15 are pending in the current application and Applicant has amended claims 1, 7 and 13, while traversing the rejection of claims 1, 5-7, 10, and 13-15. Applicant believes that no new matter has been added.

Response to Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to informalities in claim 1, stating the claim was worded awkwardly. Applicant has reworded the language in claim 1 using the equivalent wording suggested by the Examiner. The Applicant believes that the Examiner's objection has been addressed.

Response to 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1, 6-7, 10, and 13 were rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Salkhi patent application (US 2003/0128157 A1). The Examiner relied on paragraph 9 of the Salkhi patent application to find the element of, "a decoder connected to the receiver and the local clock that is synchronized to the signal...." Paragraph 9, simply says that "knowledge of precise GPS time allows the GPS receiver unit to know exactly which chip of a satellite's waveform is being transmitted at any given time." The Salkhi patent application does not describe or teach a local clock that is synchronized to the signal as claimed by Applicant. Rather, the Salkhi patent application describes a RTC (real time clock) that is only accurate for a few seconds over extended periods, (see paragraph 15 of Salkhi). Thus, the Salkhi patent application fails to describe or teach all of the elements of Applicant's claims.

Serial No.: 10/759,677
ST00001C1 (217-US-C1)

Therefore, independent claims 1, 7, 10, and 13 as now presented are in condition for allowance along with the claims that depend from the allowable independent claims.

Response to 35 U.S.C. §103 Rejection

The Examiner rejected claims 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Salkhi. Applicant submits that this rejection is now moot, because claim 5 depends from an allowable independent claim and is in condition for allowance.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicant acknowledges and thanks the Examiner for finding claims 2-4, 8, 9, 11, and 12 allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing discussion and amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-15 as now presented, are in a condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
The Eclipse Group

By 

Attorney for Applicants
Gregory B. Gulliver, Reg. 44,138
Phone: (949) 448-4910
Fax: (312) 264-2388
The Eclipse Group
10453 Raintree Lane
Northridge, CA 91326

Customer No. 34408